ST. STEPHEN'S COLLEGE Doctor of Ministry

FINAL FEEDBACK FOR DISSERTATION
To be completed by <u>External Examiner</u>
Innovative Model for Ministry

Name of Student:	
Dissertation Title:	
Name of External Examiner:	

Rate each of the following as Yes...or...Yes, with changes...or...No. Add comments regardless of rating. [Note: See descriptive anchors for rating each category below.]

Did the Candidate....

Category	Rating	Comments (share your rating rationale and/or reflective input with the student as you see fitting)
Contextualize and	Yes	
demonstrate the need for the	Yes with changes	
project?	No	
2. Critically review the	Yes	
literature?	Yes with changes	
	No	
3. Provide rationale for the	Yes	
chosen methodology?	Yes with changes	
	No	
4. Address ethical	Yes	
considerations of the research?	Yes with changes	
	No	
5. Provide analysis of results?	Yes	
	Yes with changes	
	No	
6. Provide summary,	Yes	
discussion, conclusions and	Yes with changes	
implications of findings?	No	
7. Address the goals for the	Yes	
Doctor of Ministry Program?	Yes with changes	
	No	
8. Craft the dissertation?	Yes	
	Yes with changes	
	No	

Category	Descriptive Anchors for Rating each Category
1. Research Question/	Includes clear statement of issue; identifies gaps in current knowledge and/or provides
setup	rationale for current research study
2. Literature review	Cites relevant works and places them in context.
3. Methodology/Methods	Demonstrates proficient knowledge of chosen <i>methodology</i> (the rationale and philosophical assumptions that guide the research and chosen methods) and justifies selection of <i>methods</i> , i.e. the tools, processes and ways by which data is obtained.
4. Ethical considerations	Ensures voluntary participation and rights to withdraw; ensures safety and confidentiality of participants
5. Analysis/presentation of results	Results interpreted in light of proposed research question and existing literature.
6. Discussion/implications	Clearly summarizes the key findings of the research; includes new insights on issue gained from the research. Sophisticated discussion of implications of findings for ministry practice and future research.
7. DMin Goals	Shows advanced understanding of nature and purpose of ministry; ability to reflect theologically on ministry and to advance knowledge about the practice of ministry.
8. Craft	Ideas expressed clearly, logically and concisely. Coherent progression of thought within P/D and within each section. Limited typographical, grammatical and formatting errors.

Overall, how do you assess this Dissertation (attach additional document, if necessary). Strengths: Weaknesses:

EXTERNAL EXAMINER FORWARD TO DEPARTMENT CH	ATR		
FEEDBACK RECEIVED BY PROJECT-DISSERTATION COMMITTEE:			
Project-Dissertation Committee Chair Name			
Project-Dissertation Committee Chair Signature			
(on behalf of the P-D Committee)			
Names of Committee Members:			

Recommendations (including requested revisions).

OFFICE USE ONLY	Date/Initial
Honorarium issued to Examiner	

Date