

ST. STEPHEN'S COLLEGE
Doctor of Ministry
 FINAL FEEDBACK FOR DISSERTATION
 To be completed by External Examiner
 Research in Ministry

Name of Student:	
Dissertation Title:	
Name of External Examiner:	

Rate each of the following as Yes...or...Yes, with changes...or...No. Add comments regardless of rating. [Note: See descriptive anchors for rating each category below.]

Did the Candidate....

Category		Rating	Comments (share your rating rationale and/or reflective input with the student as you see fitting)
1. Contextualize and setup the research questions?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
2. Critically review the literature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
3. Provide rationale for the chosen methodology?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
4. Address ethical considerations of the research?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
5. Provide analysis of results?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
6. Provide summary, discussion, conclusions and implications of findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
7. Address the goals for the Doctor of Ministry Program?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
8. Craft the dissertation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes with changes	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	

Category	Descriptive Anchors for Rating each Category
1. Research Question/ setup	Includes clear statement of issue; identifies gaps in current knowledge and/or provides rationale for current research study
2. Literature review	Cites relevant works and places them in context.
3. Methodology/Methods	Demonstrates proficient knowledge of chosen <i>methodology</i> (the rationale and philosophical assumptions that guide the research and chosen methods) and justifies selection of <i>methods</i> , i.e. the tools, processes and ways by which data is obtained.
4. Ethical considerations	Ensures voluntary participation and rights to withdraw; ensures safety and confidentiality of participants
5. Analysis/presentation of results	Results interpreted in light of proposed research question and existing literature.
6. Discussion/implications	Clearly summarizes the key findings of the research; includes new insights on issue gained from the research. Sophisticated discussion of implications of findings for ministry practice and future research.
7. DMin Goals	Shows advanced understanding of nature and purpose of ministry; ability to reflect theologically on ministry and to advance knowledge about the practice of ministry.
8. Craft	Ideas expressed clearly, logically and concisely. Coherent progression of thought within P/D and within each section. Limited typographical, grammatical and formatting errors.

Overall, how do you assess this Dissertation (attach additional page, if necessary).

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Recommendations (including requested revisions).

--

EXTERNAL EXAMINER FORWARD TO DEPARTMENT CHAIR

FEEDBACK RECEIVED BY PROJECT-DISSERTATION COMMITTEE:	
Project-Dissertation Committee Chair Name	
Project-Dissertation Committee Chair Signature (on behalf of the P-D Committee)	
Names of Committee Members:	
Date	

OFFICE USE ONLY	Date/Initial
Honorarium issued to Examiner	