

ST. STEPHEN'S COLLEGE
Master of Theological Studies
 FINAL FEEDBACK FOR THESIS
 To be completed by External Examiner

Name of Student:	
Thesis Title:	

Rate each of the following as *Yes...or...Yes, with changes...or...No*. Add comments regardless of rating. [Note: See descriptive anchors for rating each category below.]

Did the Candidate....

Category	Rating	Comments (share your rating rationale and/or reflective input with the student as you see fitting)
1. Contextualize and setup the question?	Yes Yes with changes No	
2. Critically review the literature?	Yes Yes with changes No	
3. Provide rationale for the chosen methodology?	Yes Yes with changes No	
4. Address ethical considerations of the research?	Yes Yes with changes No	
5. Provide analysis of results?	Yes Yes with changes No	
6. Provide summary, discussion, conclusions and implications of findings?	Yes Yes with changes No	
7. Craft the thesis?	Yes Yes with changes No	

Category	Descriptive Anchors for Rating each Category
1. Research Question/setup	Includes clear statement of issue; identifies gaps in current knowledge and/or provides rationale for current research study
2. Literature review	Cites relevant works and places them in context.
3. Methodology/Methods	Demonstrates proficient knowledge of chosen <i>methodology</i> (the rationale and philosophical assumptions that guide the research and chosen methods) and justifies selection of <i>methods</i> , i.e. the tools, processes and ways by which data is obtained.
4. Ethical considerations	Ensures voluntary participation and rights to withdraw; ensures safety and confidentiality of participants
5. Analysis/presentation of results	Results interpreted in light of proposed research question and existing literature.
6. Discussion/implications	Clearly summarizes the key findings of the research; includes new insights on issue gained from the research. Sophisticated discussion of implications of findings for ministry practice and future research.
7. Craft	Ideas expressed clearly, logically and concisely. Coherent progression of thought within each section. Limited typographical, grammatical and formatting errors.

Overall, how do you assess this Thesis.

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Recommendations (including requested revisions).

Date:	Name of External Examiner:
E-Signature	

<i>Received by Department Chair</i>	<i>Date:</i>
<i>Department Chair Initial</i>	<i>Initial:</i>