Every Breath You Take

Ethical Considerations Regarding Health Care Metrics

Dr Derek Truscott

Professor and Director of Training
Doctoral Program in Counselling Psychology





Video Disclosure

This lecture is being recorded in video format by Alberta Health Services (AHS). The recording may be used and disclosed by AHS for educational purposes and may be further broadcast by AHS for other presentations, publications or promotions. This may include conversion to digital format, storing, and publication on AHS webcasting technology. Any person who does not wish to appear in the video recording should move out of range of the camera.



Who are Metrics for?

Patients?

- > Assumed to be interested in quality care
- Not sensitive to provider metrics

Providers?

- Sensitive to reputation, status, and professional pride
- Metrics alone do not improve patient outcomes

Administrators?

- Sensitive to reputation and threat of job loss
- > Targets improve if reputation can be repaired



The Upside of Metrics

Better ethics

- > We ought to know if we are helping
- > We ought to know if we are harming

Better care

- Particularly with rare and complex cases
- Effective practices can be shared

Better decisions

- > Attend to aspects of care that matter
- More reliable and efficient



An Example

- 1. Derek seems to know what he is talking about
 Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Agree
- 2. This talk is covering material that I hoped it would Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Agree
- 3. I like Derek's approach to presenting the material Strongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Agree
- 4. Overall, this talk is going wellStrongly Disagree 1 2 3 4 5 Strongly Agree



The Downside of Metrics

Problems of measurement

- > Selecting one target displaces another
- Precision is inversely related to importance

Unintended consequences

- Measure fixation and ossification: "Treat to the test"
- Resources are diverted from providing care

Campbell's/Goodhart's law

- > Any metric used for control becomes corrupt
- Fosters gaming and degrades outcomes



Heart Attack Deaths

The measure

> EMS response to heart attacks within 8 minutes

The consequences

- > Reported improved response times to heart attacks
- No change in response times to other emergencies

Campbell's/Goodhart's law

- > Ambulances deployed to high density areas
- Calls reclassified after ambulance response



A Way Forward

Use metrics wisely

- ➤ To evaluate systems not providers
- > Require evidence that benefits outweigh burdens

Use metrics collaboratively

- Providers to identify meaningful metrics
- Managers to review utility of metrics

Use metrics to assist

- Normative information for provider or system
- Enable individual or institutional learning



Thank You

- Questions?
- derek.truscott@ualberta.ca
- The handouts to accompany this presentation are available on the John Dossetor Health Ethics Centre website:

ualberta.ca/john-dossetor-health-ethics-centre



References

- Bevan, G., & Hamblin, R. (2009). Hitting and missing targets by ambulance services for emergency calls. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 172*(1), 161-190.
- Campbell, D. T. (1979). Assessing the impact of planned social change. *Evaluation and Program Planning, 2*(1), 67-90.
- Goodhart, C. A. (1984). Problems of monetary management. In *Monetary Theory and Practice* (pp. 91-121). London, UK: Palgrave.
- Moscelli, G., Gravelle, H., Siciliani, L., & Santos, R. (2018). Heterogeneous effects of patient choice and hospital competition on mortality. *Social Science & Medicine*, *216*, 50-58.
- Muller, J. Z. (2018). *The tyranny of metrics*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Olivella, P., & Siciliani, L. (2017). Reputational concerns with altruistic providers. *Journal of Health Economics*, *55*, 1-13.
- Urbach, D. R., Govindarajan, A., Saskin, R., Wilton, A. S., & Baxter, N. N. (2014). Introduction of surgical safety checklists in Ontario, Canada. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *370*(11), 1029-1038

