Distinguishing **Bilingual Children** from Monolinguals with SLI: Profile Effects on the ENNI

Johanne Paradis & Phyllis Schneider

University of Alberta

Background

Bilingual children with TLD often perform below monolingual age peers on standardized tests, with over-identification of SLI in bilinguals a potential outcome (Crutchley et al, 1997; Oller & Eilers, 2002; Paradis, 2005; Thordardottir et al. 2006)

Generation of the second states and second states and second states, size, bilinguals catch up asynchronously to monolingual peers: 'profile effects ' (Oller et al., 2007)

The purpose of this study was to investigate possible profile effects in bilingual children's oral narrative abilities and mean length of utterance as compared to monolinguals with TLD and with SLI

Research Questions

Swould bilingual children approach monolingual norms asynchronously for narrative abilities and mean length of utterance?

Solution with the second stress of the second stres parallel monolingual children with TLD, parallel those with SLI, or be distinct from both?



B

C

M

Time 2 Time 1

Edmonton Narrative Norms instrument

Story Grammar (SG) (e.g. setting, characters, events, internal plan, outcome) Mean Length of **Communication Unit (MLCU)**

(ENNI: Schneider et al. 2004, 2006)

MTD

MTD

Time 1				Time 2			
Predicted Group%				Predicted Group%			
Group %	MTD	MSLI	BLTD	Group %	MTD	MSLI	BLTD
MTD	78	13	9	MTD	65	10	25
MSLI	21	48	30	MSLI	20	70	10
BLTD	23	9	68	BLTD	20	20	60

Group classification results from linear discriminant function analysis

Summary and Conclusions

 Both ANOVA and linear discriminant function analyses showed that the bilingual children had an uneven profile vis à vis monolinguals for narrative abilities and mean length of utterance, even after nearly 3 years' exposure to English

Bilingual children can catch up more quickly for narrative abilities (story grammar) possibly because this is a cognitive/linguistic interface skill, and can be shared with the L1; in contrast, grammatical complexity (MLCU) is more English-language specific

Consolver-identification of bilingual children with TLD as SLI is a potential risk, even after nearly 3 years' exposure to English

3 There is a need for **bilingual norms for standardized tests** because profile effects suggest that waiting for them to catch up in synchrony is not appropriate

Crutchley, A., Conti-Ramsden, G., & Botting, N. (1997). Bilingual children with SLI and standardized assessments: Preliminary findings from a study of children in language units. International Journal of Bilingualism, 1, 117-134. Oller, D.K., & Eilers, R. (Eds.), Language and literacy in bilingual children (pp. 64-97). Clevendon: Multilingual Matters. Oller, K.D., Pearson, B., & Cobo-Lewis, A. (2007). Profile effects in early bilingual language and literacy. Applied Psycholinguistics, 28, 191-230. Paradis, J. (2005). Grammatical morphology in children learning English as a second language: Implications of similarities with specific language impairment. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 36, 172-187. Schneider, P., Dubé, R.V., & Hayward, D. (2004). The Edmonton Narrative Norms Instrument. http://www.rehabmed/ualberta.ca/spa/enni Schneider, P., Hayward, D., & Dubé, R.V. (2006). Storytelling from pictures using the Edmonton Narrative Norms Instrument. Journal of Speech-Language Pathology and Audiology, 30, 224-238. Thordardottir, E., Rothenberg, A., Rivard, M.E., Naves, R. (2006). Bilingual assessment: Can overall proficiency be estimated from separate measurement of two languages? Journal of Multilingual Communication Disorders, 4, 1-21.



References